A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Industries).—

(*a*) Four tanks in the year 1955.

(*b*) Restoration of one tank is in progress and arrangements have been made to start the work of another tank.

(*c*) The estimate in respect of the third tank is under scrutiny and tenders have been called for in respect of the remaining one.

(*d*) Yes.

Message from the Rajpramukh.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have received the following message from the Rajpramukh in reply to the Condolence of the House sent to His Highness the Rajpramukh in connection with the demise of Her Highness the Dowager Maharani of Mysore :

"To

The Members of the Legislative Assembly.

I have received from the Speaker the expression of your sympathy in the sad demise of Her Highness the Dowager Maharani of Mysore, and am most grateful to you for the same".

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public health and Local Self-Government).—As promised by the Law Minister in connection with the adjournment motion tabled by Sri S. Gopala Gowda, I beg to lay on the table of the House the Memorandum of Settlement arrived at in the industrial dispute between the workers and Management of the Mysore Sugar Co.,Ltd., Mandya.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—What is the total amount involved in the matter of giving concessions to the labour ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I will be able to furnish the information later on, Sir.

Notifications.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—Sir, I beg to lay on the table copies of the following Notifications :

1 T. 9298/R.T. 118-52-10, dated 12th March 1956.
2 T. 9302/R.T. 35-55-16, dated 12th March 1956.
3 9333/R.T. 35-55-17, dated 13th March 1956.
4 9346/R.T. 213-55-3, dated 13th March 1956.

issued under the Mysore Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

List of Promises.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—Sir, I beg to lay on the table of the House the list of promises made by Government during Budget sessions of 1953-54, October Session, 1954 and Budget Session, 1955 and the action taken thereon.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Demands for grants.

BUDGET FOR 1956-57—DEMANDS FOR GRANTS.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ (ಹುಲಿಯೂರು ದುರ್ಗ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಜೋತಾ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳನ್ನು ತಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಕಾಲ ನಿಗಧಿಮಾಡಿ ಹಿಂದಿನಂತೆ ಅವುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಯುವುದು ಸೂಕ್ತ. ಕ ಲ ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಈ ದಿ ನ 3 ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳು ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನುಮಾರು 2½ ಗಂಟೆ ಕಾಲವಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂಗೆ ಒಂದು ಘಂಟೆ, ಜನರಲ್ ಅಡ್ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಷನ್ನಿಗೆ 1¼ ಗಂಟೆ, ಫ್ಯಾಮಿನ್ನಿಗೆ 15 ನಿಮಿಷ ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯವರು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತಾರೆ ದು ನಂಬಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Members' Representations.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Sir, I have got some remarks to make regarding the suggestion made just now by my friend and also regarding some other important matter. Sir, I am sorry to observe that the questions tabled by the

members in the opposition are not coming up before this House. It is really regretable that at a time when general elections are approaching fast most of the questions tabled by most of the Congress members are being answered. It is unfortunate that in spite of the long time that has been allowed to Government to furnish answers to questions, questions tabled by Opposition members are not at all being answered. I request that the Chair will take sufficient interest to see that questions tabled by the members of the Opposition are answered.

Secondly, though it is embarrassing to the Speaker, I am constrained to state, during the discussion on the Budget though members of the Opposition Party rose many a time in their seats to offer remarks on the Budget, they were not given sufficient opportunity whereas members of the Congress Party were given ample and over Opportunity. It is very unfortunate that such a thing is continued.

Regarding the cut motions, we agree with the suggestion made by Sri Huchmasthy Gowda on the understanding that it is the right of the members of the Opposition to table cut motions and if cut motions are not taken up, if general discussion on the Demands take splace, it should be the prerogative right of the members of the Opposition to take part in this debate. I would urge upon the Hon'ble Speaker to allow no time to the members of the Congress Party to offer remarks on these Demands.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY (Bangalore South).—My friend Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy just now said that in providing answers to questions there has been some partiality shown in this House. It is very unfair on the part of the member, Sir, to have made such an allegation without knowing the number of questions answered so far and out of them the number of questions that belong of the Treasury Benches. Such a remark in a general fashion would not bring any credit to anybody.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—I have placed a personal charge and it is for the Government to reply and not my friend.

*Sri T. MARIAPPA (Mysore-North).—Sir, it would be perfectly legitimate for my Honb'le friend Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy to plead that more facilities, more opportunities in participating in the Budget discussion must be given to them. It would have been much better if he had not made further remarks that the Chair was unduly partial. In fact we know that Sri K. Puttaswamy and several other members on this side urged on you repeatedly and they stood for hours together to get an opportunity to speak on the Budget. My friends Sri Nagiah Reddy and Sri Venkatappa had to sit down without getting an opportunity. All of us agree that the Opposition should be given more opportunity; there is no difference of opinion on this whatsoever. But, at the same time, he should not throw any aspersions on the Chair with regard to the discretion vested in it while calling upon the speakers.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—I am sorry; I have not cast any aspersions on the Chair but I have said the fact which you cannot deny.

*Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS (St. John's Hill).—I would like to add a few words. Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy should not forget that his Party consists of 8 members and three of them have been given the opportunity to participate in the debate; whereas there are 80 members belonging to the Congress Party and only 8 members were given the chance. Therefore, before the Hon'ble Member makes any remarks, he should analyse the facts and come to conclusions.

*Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—The allegations made by the Hon'ble Member is rather serious in stating that there has been invidious distinction in the selection of questions to furnish answers. Probably the member forgets that it is not the Government that arranges the business, but it is the Hon'ble Speaker. Questions are selected by ballot and answers are given. With regard to the questions that are referred to the departments for answers, I may assure

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

the Hon'ble Members that in spite of very heavy work, no paper is pending with me. Similarly, all the Ministers are inclined to see that the maximum work is done. So, there is no foundation for the allegation made with regard to the selection of questions.

Regarding the discussion on the Budget, Hon'ble Member knows that the Chair acted very impartially and the allegation is baseless.

*SRI K. S. VASAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—Sir, firstly, questions are not selected by ballot. Secondly, as far as I have understood Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy, he said that the Government is slow in sending up answers for the questions tabled by the members of the Opposition.

MR. SPEAKER.—With regard to the selection of questions Hon'ble Member Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy knows the well-known practice that is adopted even in previous years. We print the questions and answers according to the date of receipt of answers. Therefore, we have printed so far the questions for which answers have been received by the office earlier. Other questions will come up during the course of the session. I will see that every question is answered during this session. The Government will also bear in mind to send answers as early as possible if they have not sent them already. Therefore, there is no necessity to urge on behalf of the Opposition that answers are not being furnished.

Another remark made by Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy was that members of the Opposition are not given sufficient opportunity. Even if I have erred, I am prepared to rectify it. I would like to impress on the Hon'ble Members that no partiality will be shown either to the Opposition or the Ruling Party. To my knowledge, I think I have acted fairly. Of course, it is not possible to satisfy every member of the House. That difficulty is there. Still, I would try to give as much opportunity as possible to members of the Opposition That is all I could say in this behalf.

Regarding cut motions, I hope Hon'ble Members are agreeable to the procedure suggested by Sri Huchmasthy Gowda.

SEVERAL HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

MR. SPEAKER.—So, one hour and fifteen minutes for the Demand regarding 'Land Revenue', one hour and fifteen minutes for 'General Administration' and fifteen minutes for 'Famine'.

SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Industries).—I would like to submit that on Saturdays different timings should be fixed for question hour in the two Houses, or questions pertaining to the Ministers present may be taken up in this House and questions pertaining to the other Ministers may be suggested to be taken up later. Otherwise, it so happens that simultaneously Ministers will have to answer questions here as well as in the other House and therefore, the privileges of the members will be curtailed and also it will be difficult for us to adjust ourselves.

SRI A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—They could as well arrange the Upper House to meet an hour later. That would solve the entire problem.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ (ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನನ್ನ ಒಂದು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡದ್ದು—"ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ನನಗೆ ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದರು" ಎಂಬ ವಿಚಾರ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆನು. ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಏನೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ತಾವು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ.

MR. SPEAKER.—I have said I will consider that point and make a statement on Monday.

SRI MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—I have got some other submission to make. Yesterday you were pleased to state that a certain word used by Sri Nagiah Reddy should be expunged. I would like to know the reasons for expunging that word. As far as I know, that word 'stupidity' or 'stupid' is not unparliamentary.

MR. SPEAKER.—I have already said I will give a statement.

*SRI M. PALANIYAPPAN.—The Chair was kind enough to give a ruling. I do not know why Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy has again raked up the matter.

MR. SPEAKER.—I will consider that point and make a statement. I have

said it is not unparliamentary, but it is not proper. I will examine that and give a statement.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—If I am correct, you were pleased to say that you would expunge the word from the proceedings. You have given a ruling and after a definite ruling has been given, I do not see any reason why Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy . . .

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not know why the Hon'ble Members are anxious to complicate matters. Is it in the interests of the House? I have noted what you have said and I will give a statement. It pains me to bring it to the notice of the House that unless all the members co-operate it is not possible to carry on the proceedings, but if the Hon'ble Members carry prejudices even in the debate and raise objection at every point, you know the dignity of the House will be brought down and it will not be possible to carry on the proceedings. Therefore, I would request all the members to co-operate with me in carrying on the proceedings.

DEMAND No. 1--LAND REVENUE

7. LAND REVENUE.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 61,88,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st day of March 1957, in respect of 'Land Revenue'."

(SRI K. PUTTASWAMY rose.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—The time limit will be fixed for each member to speak. Three minutes.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Five minutes?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ (ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಬಾಬಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಇಚ್ಛೆಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಆದರೆ ಈ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳ ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಾರ. ಈ ದಿವಸ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳ ಆಡಳಿತ ಒಂದು ಸರಿಯಾದ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಜನರಿಗೆ ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ನಾನೀಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಚಾರ್ಜಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಗೊಳಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸ ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಚಾರ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕಾದದ್ದು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾಗೇನೋ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ . . . .

Mr. SPEAKER.—Your point for discussion can be raised under Demand No. 11.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಎನ್ನುವ ಹೆಡ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಈ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್‌ಗಳ ವಿಚಾರ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೂ ಆ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಬಂದಾಗಲೇ ನಾನು ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ (ದೊಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನೀಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ "ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ನಂಬರ್ 7 – ಜಮೀನು ಕಂದಾಯ" ಎಂಬ ಬಾಬಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿರತಕ್ಕ ರೂ. 61,88,000 ಗಳ ಮನವಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ 100 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಖೋತಾ ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ನನ್ನ ನಿರ್ಣಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಮಾಡುತ್ತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಒಂದೆರಡು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ತರಲು ಇಚ್ಛೆಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ರೈತರುಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೆ ಖರೀದಿ ಮೂಲಕ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ, ಆ ಖರೀದಿ ಪತ್ರಗಳು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲೇ ಈ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಫೋಡಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಎಂದರೆ ಆ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಮತ್ತು ಆ ಜಮೀನು ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರಯದಾರರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಆ ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರರು ಕೇರ ಬೇಕಾದ ಪೊಬಲಗನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಾಗೆ ಮುಂಗಡವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಫೀಜುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಈ ಜಮೀನುಗಳ ಸರ್ವೆ ಕೆಲಸ, ಅಳತೆ, ಖಾತೆ ವರ್ಗಾವಣೆ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಅನೇಕ ವರ್ಷಗಳಾದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಕಾರ್ಯಗತ ಮಾಡದೆ ಹಾಗೇ ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಹೀಗೆ ಇವರು ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಳಂಬ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೆ ಸಂನ್ಯಾನಾಮಳೆ ಒಂದು ದೊಡ್ಡ ಗೊಂದಲಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹಾಗಾಗಿದೆ. ತಾವು ಈ ದಿವಸ ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಈ ಜಮೀನು ಕಂದಾಯದ ತಃಖ್ತೆಯನ್ನು ನೋಡುವುದಾದರೆ ಯಾರದೋ ಜಮೀನು ಯಾರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲೋ ಇದ್ದು ಅದನ್ನು ಯಾರೋ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಈ ತಃಖ್ತೆ ಇದು ಅಪ್-ಟು-ಡೇಟ್ ಆಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಈ ದಿವಸ ರೈತರುಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿಶೇಷ ತೊಂದರೆಗಳಾಗುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗ ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳೆದ ಈ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಯ ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ಅಧಿವೇಶನಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈವರೆಗೆ ಗಮನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಂತೆ ಕಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಂದಾಯ ಕೊಡುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತುಂಬ ತೊಂದರೆಗಳುಂಟಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಯಾರು ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅಂಥವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲೇ ಆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಖಾತೆಯನ್ನಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕ್ರಯದಾರರ